



## **Broadcasting Notice of Consultation CRTC 2009-411-5**

Additional references: 2009-70, 2009-70-1, 2009-70-2, 2009-113, 2009-113-1, 2009-113-2, 2009-411, 2009-411-1, 2009-411-2, 2009-411-3 and 2009-411-4

Ottawa, 6 November 2009

### **Notice of hearing**

**16 November 2009**  
**Gatineau, Quebec**

### **Policy proceeding on a group-based approach to the licensing of television services and on certain issues relating to conventional television**

#### **Additional document placed on the record of the proceeding**

In paragraph 4 of Broadcasting Notice of Consultation 2009-411-3, the Commission modified paragraph 37 of Broadcasting Notice of Consultation 2009-411 to state that it considers that it is appropriate, in the context of the present proceeding, to consider whether or not a negotiated solution for the compensation for the fair value of local conventional television signals is also appropriate, and is seeking comment on this question.”

The Commission further modified paragraph 38 of Broadcasting Notice of Consultation 2009-411 to state that, should it decide that negotiations for local television signals is appropriate, where there is no negotiated agreement or agreements, it “is considering what strategies and procedures are most likely to contribute to and/or ensure a timely resolution of negotiations and is seeking comment on this issue as well.”

Finally, the Commission modified paragraph 39 of Broadcasting Notice of Consultation 2009-411 to indicate that it is “seeking comment on what mechanism should be used for establishing a negotiated fair value distant conventional signals, as well as local conventional signals, should the Commission find that the latter is appropriate.” The paragraph continues by setting out questions on which the Commission is seeking comment, including the following: “What is the appropriate method, if required, to achieve resolution through binding arbitration?” In this context, the Commission is making available the following report on the public record of this proceeding:

- Structuring Baseball’s “Final Offer” Arbitration process for use in proceedings before the CRTC (Samuel J. Reich, Esquire)

While the enclosed study was commissioned by the Commission, the observations and conclusions are those of the author alone. The Commission makes this study available in its usual spirit of transparency so that parties can comment on the study either in their submission before the Commission or in any final written submission after the hearing.

Secretary General

*This document is available in alternative format upon request and may also be examined in PDF format or in HTML at the following Internet site: <http://www.crtc.gc.ca>.*